Chris Austin

From: ljvpencos@gmail.com on behalf of Lee Vandecarr <lvandecarr@thepencos.com>

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2015 11:22 AM

To: Chris Austin

Cc: Frankie Pendergraph

Subject: 2016 QAP

Memo

To: Chris Austin

From: Frankie Pendergraph and Lee VanDeCarr

Date: September 4, 2015

Re: 2016 QAP Comments

A few comments for the 2016 QAP:

Like many others, we believe that having

the

lowest tax credits per unit as the determining factor weakens the integrity of the tax credit program. Much has been said about this issue already so we will not reiterate what many developers have been saying for the past 2 years.

 We acknowledge that it is extremely difficult to come up with an alternate system. However, one alternate system may be to have more point

s and more point

categories. For example, more site points (which might mean more amenities), experience points, points for staying in the deal for 15 years, etc...

Every application should show the full development fee and construction profit.

This comment is more relevant if the determining factor remains lowest credits per unit but it important otherwise as well.

All third party contractors should have to sign a statement that they have reviewed what the developer is submitting
and confirm that construction

and management (operating) numbers are appropriate.

•	We like the contingency for site costs that was suggested by another developer. There has to be some consideration
	for things out of the developer's/contractor's control such as rain, snow, springs, bad soils, rock, etc

•	The number of awards pe	County should be	changed to be based	on the population of the	County.

Thank you for your consideration of these items.